

IN THE SPECIFICATION

Please delete the material on page 19 beginning with the phrase “Example 2.” (including Table 2) and continuing to the phrase “*Comparative Example”.

Please replace Example 3 beginning at page 19 as follows:

Example [[3]] 2.

A compressible flexographic mounting tape was prepared by casting a mechanically frothed, curable polyurethane foam composition prepared as described in Example 1 onto the copolyester side of a co-extruded PET/co-polyester film (Weld Seal 30, Rockwell), the PET side of the film being acid etched using trichloroacetic acid. Three cured polyurethane foams were prepared, each having a density of 30 pounds per cubic feet (kilograms per cubic meter), a CFD (Compressive Force Deflection) at 15% compressive strain 8, 18, or 30 pounds per square inch (+/-2 psi), and a loss factor of 0.15.

Please replace the first paragraph and caption for the Table on page 21 as follows:

Print performance was evaluated using solid ink density (SID) and dot gain. The SID was determined by densitometer readings of printed solids. The dot gain was obtained from densitometer readings of the tone scales using the Marry-Davis formula. As may be seen by reference to Table [[3]]2 below, the tape provides a combination of high solid ink density and low dot gain. The tape was removed cleanly from the cylinder without tearing.

RGP-0062
(00Q)

Table [[3]]2.

|